

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE UNITED STAT Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO.                         | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR |         | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |        |              |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------|---------------------|--------|--------------|
| 08/992.767                              | 12/17/97    | YOKOYAMA             |         | н                   | NEC-   | -19654       |
| Г                                       |             | MM42/0927            | _       | EXAMINER            |        |              |
| HAYES SOLOWAY HENNESSEY GROSSMAN        |             |                      | WILLE.D |                     |        |              |
| & HAGE                                  | DEET .      | •                    |         | ART UNIT            |        | PAPER NUMBER |
| 175 CANAL STREET<br>MANCHESTER NH 03101 |             |                      |         | 2814                |        | 8            |
|   |             |                      |         | DATE MA             | /27/99 |              |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or pr ceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 



## Application No.

08/992,767

Applican (s)

Yokoyama

**Advisory Action** 

Examiner

Group Art Unit 2814

|            |  | Douglas Wille  | 2814                                  |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| TH         | HE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]  |  |                                       |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | a) X expires3 months from the mailing date of the  | e final rejection.   |                                       |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period to rejection.  | is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final |                                       |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above. |  |                                       |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the period for response set forth above, whichever is later).   | e Notice of Appeal filed on<br>See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 C  | FR 1.192(a).                          | or within any       |  |  |  |  |  |
| <b>A</b> p | pplicant's response to the final rejection, filed on $ $   | 30, 1999 has been considered allowance:  | d with the follo                      | wing effect,        |  |  |  |  |  |
| X          | The proposed amendment(s):   |  |                                       |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | $oxed{\boxtimes}$ will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and  | an Appeal Brief.   |                                       |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | will not be entered because:   |  |                                       |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | they raise new issues that would require further   |  | e note below).                        |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | they raise the issue of new matter. (See note be   |  |                                       |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | they are not deemed to place the application in b issues for appeal.   |  | _                                     |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | ☐ they present additional claims without cancelling  | a corresponding number of finall   | y rejected clair                      | ns.                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | NOTE:  |  |                                       |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|            |  | , — , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,  | . <u></u>                             | <del></del>         |  |  |  |  |  |
|            |  |  |                                       |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Applicant's response has overcome the following rej  | ection(s):   |                                       |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|            |  |  | <del></del>                           |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Newly proposed or amended claims separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allo  | would be   | allowable if s                        | ubmitted in a       |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has for allowance because:   | been considered but does NOT p   | lace the applic                       | eation in condition |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | ne affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   |  |                                       |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| X          | For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):   |  |                                       |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Claims allowed:  |  |                                       |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Claims objected to:  |  |                                       |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Claims rejected: <u>See prior Office Action.</u>   |  | wa.                                   |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | The proposed drawing correction filed on   |  |                                       |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s),   | PTO-1449, Paper No(s)  |                                       | _                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | Other  |  | Ollik Chaudhuri                       | hath,               |  |  |  |  |  |
|            |  |  | iasty Palsni Eur.<br>noisty Contor 20 |                     |  |  |  |  |  |